

Enlightenment 101



The word enlightenment is a word of provocation, as it has many meanings and in some ways has no meaning at all, so what is it really? Is it a thing? Is it a feeling? Is it a mechanism of transcendence from one reality to another? Whatever you think it is, or believe it is, it should be said that the notion of enlightenment cannot be fully appreciated by you until you understand Freewill and how as a Human: *Being* on Earth you *are* Freewill. You do not have Freewill, you do not get Freewill, you do not lose Freewill but in fact you *are* Freewill. Living on Earth the notion of Freewill is rarely discussed, in fact I would suggest it is far more than taboo, it is simply the concept that should never be spoken of for to understand the truth about you and Freewill is to undermine the very foundation of life on Earth. Instead of celebrating the wonder of our Freewill nature we spend all of our time navigating the byzantine maze of laws, rules, regulations, codes and various other systems of control.



There are millions of laws, codes, ordinances and rules around the world explained by tens of millions of words. There are countless religious based laws emanating from the Judeo Christian texts to the foundational laws of the Jedi religion and all of them are based on words that are continuously being interpreted and reinterpreted. There are cultural laws, treaties, school laws, company laws, medical industry laws and even laws governing outer space. There are rules of war, war? What is the retribution for breaking a rule of war, more war? We have come to rely on “the rule of law” (read that line in god’s voice) as our sole means for negotiating our life on Earth. We think in terms of “legal” or “illegal” in terms of “lawful” or “unlawful” or in terms of “god’s law.”



The world is swimming in a sea of laws designed to moderate behavior and not one of those laws has ever prevented a Human: *Being* on Earth, from actually doing a thing. That's right, not one action has ever been stopped prior to taking place because of a law, commandment or code. Folks are under the impression that laws are some form of titanium wall which prevent acts from happening, which in turn gives us all the piece of mind that stems from the idea that laws created by other men create order in our world of chaos. While that thinking is ubiquitous, this steadfast belief that laws create order is not true. It is an entrenched belief that behavior on Earth must be regulated and laws regulate behavior, and yet this seemingly unyielding truth from god himself is as untrue as it gets.


Laws do not prevent anything from happening; they only give access to retribution, redress or economic compensation *after* an event has occurred, and even then this only occurs if all parties agree to the systems involved.

This is the single most important "hidden in plain sight" truth there is. People believe that laws control the actions of others, in fact most people will tell you that the laws written by the various controlling systems are written for the control of the other guy, when in fact all laws are written for them personally and not that mythical "other guy." So the millions of laws containing tens of millions of words were all written for you personally. Why, well because you need to be regulated, right? Your problem is you are unable to regulate yourself, so laws are created so you can be regulated effectively. If you were able to regulate yourself, no laws would be needed – right?

What most people fail to see that all laws are simply a mechanism to exact retribution on those who act in a manner that can be made to *appear* as though those the actions "broke" a law, and are not about the regulation of behavior at all. The laws of redress and retribution are all written in vague, arguable terms, so that folks who cannot understand the language cannot escape the retribution. Did you know according to Black's Law dictionary second edition the word "suffering" means "consent?" The Act of Consent means executing one's Freewill. If you were beaten by a robber, one who was not stopped by the laws outlawing robbing, the state would refuse to exact retribution upon him if you said you "suffered at the hands of the robber" in court. This would be because it would "legally" mean you *consented* to the act of being robbed! Think about that the next time you claim to be suffering at the hands of another. The reason I use that example of legalese and laws in this basic treatise on enlightenment is this: all actions taken by a Human - *Being* on Earth, is an act of consent, an execution of their Freewill and nothing

more or less, even suffering in the colloquial sense, as well as suffering in the legal sense, is Act of Freewill.

Freewill is all there is and you are Freewill, everything else stems from that. There is nothing else but illusion, illusion created from the act of expressing one's Freewill. There is a common notion propagated by ill-informed folks that goes like this: "Everything is either fate or Freewill but it can't be both." Folks often fight in an effort to prove one of these controlling notions by trying to include god, destiny, or mathematical based systems of science in order to define each controlling force; most often concluding nothing because they are confused by the idea that our life's expression is either one or the other. Freewill can be seen in the act of waiting in line to get on a roller coaster, fate can be seen, within the same act, as the act of being strapped to the seat as the two minute ride plays out. Some choices are choices where the act of spending Freewill energy on something, like whistling, can be started and stopped within a moment. Other choices like riding a coaster can take two minutes to resolve and others still can take decades to unravel, like being a parent. Regardless of the instigating event, the event undertaken or the results of the event, the entire process of a Human – *Being* on Earth is about the execution of one's inherent and inextricable Freewill.




From the United States Constitution: *"We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created equal, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain inalienable rights, that among these are life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness."*

Now, let us take a moment to look at this very problematic sentence from one of the more important documents in the world. The phrase "self-evident" is used. Consider what is meant by the notion that "men," not "Human – *Beings* on Earth" have clear and obvious "*truths*" applied to them. Notice how the "*truths*" laid out excluded the codification of "Freewill" as an inalienable right and the "among these..." truths only include three of the supposedly many said drafters left out. Why did they leave out the other inalienable rights we have? Did they mean "inalienable," as in "cannot be separated from," or did they mean inalienable, as in "cannot be transferred to another?" The legal definitions matters damn it, which dictionary were they using, what did they mean by "inalienable?"

The legal definition of words matter, but only in relation to the fact that this document is not a system for the prevention of action but a system for redress after an action has taken

place. I often hear folks say, “well that law is Un-Constitutional.” Consider that a law that is written and codified is only Un-Constitutional if the Supreme Court says so, and it only hears a handful of cases a year. So a law is a law if someone with the ability to incarcerate, kill or economically impoverish says so. In fact, according to that hideously incomplete list of inalienable rights it would seem any law – *all laws*, that inhibit life are Un-Constitutional, yet the death penalty is not Un-Constitutional nor is police killing an unarmed man, and drone killing of anyone or any other state sponsored killing isn’t either?

Ask the average person what the U.S. Constitution does and they will say it “gives us rights.” This is beyond shocking as the drafters of this document clearly state the document does not give rights, it prevents at least three from being taken away, but it does not “give” a thing to anyone. No one gives you rights, you inherently have Freewill and that cannot be taken away or given to another unless you decide to do it. No law has ever given someone a right; it has only sought to create a system whereby *the execution of your inherent Freewill results in retribution*. I will say that again so you get this, all laws on Earth, either by gods, god, kings, politicians or any other individual or group were created to established the ability to exact retribution on you personally for executing your inherent, inalienable Freewill, retribution ranging from fines to death of your loved ones.



Below is a quote is said to be attributed to Edward Mandell House who was the advisor to President Woodrow Wilson the President the President who instituted the system of the Federal Reserve debt based currency system, a system created in order to disguise the true nature of Freewill in each and every Human: *Being* on Earth.

“[Very] soon, every American will be required to register their biological property in a National system designed to keep track of the people and that will operate under the ancient system of pledging. By such methodology, we can compel people to submit to our agenda, which will affect our security as a chargeback for our fiat paper currency. Every American will be forced to register or suffer not being able to work and earn a living. They will be our chattel, and we will hold the security interest over them forever, by operation of the law merchant under the scheme of secured transactions. Americans, by unknowingly or unwittingly delivering the bills of lading to us will be rendered bankrupt and insolvent, forever to remain economic slaves through taxation, secured by their pledges. They will be stripped of their rights and given a commercial value designed to make us a profit and they will be none the wiser, for not one man in a

*million could ever figure our plans and, if by accident one or two would figure it out, we have in our arsenal plausible deniability. After all, this is the only logical way to fund government, by floating liens and debt to the registrants in the form of benefits and privileges. This will inevitably reap to us huge profits beyond our wildest expectations and leave every American a contributor or to this fraud which we will call "Social Insurance." Without realizing it, every American will insure us for any loss we may incur and in this manner; every American will unknowingly be our servant, however begrudgingly. The people will become helpless and without any hope for their redemption and, we will employ the high office of the President of our dummy corporation to foment this plot against America."**

That quote will sting most of you deeply, and if it doesn't read it until it does. House describes a system of enslavement through fraud. I would suggest that all the wars fought during the 20th century were wars designed to install this system worldwide, though the excuses for each war were varied, the actual reason for the 10's of millions of deaths and untold destruction was to institute this system in each corner of the world. So why was this system needed? To get everyone to give their Freewill to a system of "social insurance" of course. The brilliance of the plan is to make the system so machine like that those who know they are Freewill and seek to express it will simply be demonized and marginalized by the majority-slaves who have invested so completely via their Freewill. Take out a dollar bill and look at it. Right there in front of you it says this: "Federal Reserve Note." The legal definition of the word "note" is a DEBT! That piece of paper you hold is not a "credit" but it is an *I Owe You!* You are a debt slave if you use these for your transactions.



Would you like to fully understand why taxes are paid on all transactions using the Federal Reserve Note as a means for the transaction: The Notes are the personal, private property of the Federal Reserve Banking Families Conglomerate and they have a right to decide how their product is used and for what purposes it is used.

The supposed Constitutional Laws and those laws determined to be Constitutional, are those laws that tell us what we can and cannot do. It is the idea of cannot that is most troubling for me. The do's and don'ts of life are as much a part of our existence here on Earth as breathing. Everyone firmly believes that the laws we all live under tell us what we can and cannot do and that creates a civilized world. This of course happens because each and every person knows all the words contained in all the laws, codes, ordinances and so on by heart, after all, *ignorance of the law is no excuse*. There are nearly 74 thousand pages of tax law, and you know it all by heart – right? You know all the words to all the criminal laws in every city, county, state, and country on Earth, right? How about the one thousand or so pages of tiny type in the Vehicle Code? Did you know International Treaties trump all internal governmental laws, so are you familiar with all the terms contained in the Treaty Of Paris? You should be because those “laws” apply to you!


Ignorance of the law is no excuse is the playground motto and yet all of those laws are subject to interpretation at any given moment. If the adherence to all of these laws is of such prime importance why wasn't the first twelve years of school devoted to them and why are they all so malleable? Why does it seem like the laws are purposely hidden, purposely hard to understand and perfectly inexact? In all my years of schooling there was no class on the laws and their meanings. In fact, I can recall no single, official system of the dissemination of laws in a formal mechanism. Fact be told, most lawyers don't know the law as their education was simply designed to teach them how to process paper work and interpret laws once a client brings an issue.

In fact, police, lawyers and politicians do not know the laws by heart at all, they find problems in a Human - *Being* on Earth's behavior and then fit a law to the offending issue after the event has been legally defined as a crime or a contract violation. So how can this be the way it is?

Truth: in consciousness expression there are no “do not's” at all, only “do's.”

A Human - *Being* on Earth, only accepts the ideas of “cannot” or “do not” or “prohibited” because the symbols (mostly language based) of those notions have been introduced to the population in order to give them the impression that Freewill is somehow governed by the House Rules tacked on a government office wall, or even worse, Freewill is not present here on Earth at all. We believe the laws tell the *other guy* “no” and “cannot” which helps *us* live freely.

There are no “no's” in consciousness expression, only “yeses.” Freewill can only act, it cannot not act. I will say that again, as this will likely hurt your head, Freewill can only act, it cannot not act. Freewill can only be expressed, not prohibited from being expressed. Let that sink in, as all the “no's” in our life are acts of saying yes to no. Really work to understand the notion that there are no “no's,” only the acts of “yes” taking the form of Freewill expression. Every act of saying “no” is an act of saying yes to “no.”



All of those laws, those words, those systems of control from Treaties (treaties trump all national constitutions) to the U.C.C. (The Uniform Commercial Code dictates all contractual actions, fines and redress around the world) to the dreaded Sharia Law are all systems designed to provide for retribution (curiously, Black's describes "retribution" as a word uncommonly used in Law: this is because law is retribution and to use it would be not only redundant but revealing). Actions taken through the execution of Freewill by a Human – *Being* on Earth are then held up against a "law" or "code" or other such system of control and measured. If the actions measure up, retribution is levied in the form of prison, fine, lashes or even death. Steal a loaf of bread and have your hand cutoff. Sounds horrible, but notice the hand being cutoff cannot stop the loaf of bread from being stolen, the law that makes hand chopping acceptable is a simple system that allows for retribution for an act. Cross into a country without permission and suffer imprisonment, notice the imprisonment which is derived from the "laws" concerning entering an arbitrarily defined geographical location did not prevent the act of entering. Before the Federal Reserve System was created traveling throughout the world was simple. Now, passports, permission, body scans, fees, taxes and restrictions on movement are the accepted norm – it only took 100 years to accomplish that!

too **BIG** to FAIL

The laws, or rather the retribution derived from the presence of laws, are for the little people, the ignorant and the examples. There is a notion that permeates certain sections of society that goes something like this, "how come I have to follow the law but the head of Chase Bank or Senator Whoseits does not?" The answer is simple; retribution can only be dealt out by someone who is above the one whose behavior is in question, nearly always one in the upper third of the control pyramid. We've all seen the control pyramid, which indicates the top and the bottom, the elite and the enslaved, the special people and the hopeless people and it is here we can see who gets to exact retribution.

The laws are written by those at the top to extract retribution, money or other forms of energy from the enslaved residing at the bottom of the pyramid. It is impossible for the slaves at the bottom to demand retribution from those at the top because there are no bottom up laws. The slaves at the bottom of the pyramid, the so-called 99 percent, have no laws that include retribution for the so-called one percent. Those at the top know that the laws that exact heavy penalties from the unwashed masses do not apply to them, they wrote them in languages to *exclude themselves*. It is against the law to kill another without due process, yet the men and women employed at the pentagon do this everyday via drone based actions without concern of retribution and their moral qualms have been fully replaced by “it’s legal.” It is against international law to attack a sovereign country unprovoked and yet this happens yearly. It is against the law for banks to steal money from either depositors or shareholders yet it happens daily. It is against the law to park in a metered spot without paying the meter. Out of these examples who gets the retribution nearly every time they break the law?



Those at the very top, those whose names you will never know, understand one fundamental thing you do not: The planet is a free for all, there are no rules, there are no laws, there are no paybacks there is only choice through the only constant in all of creation: Freewill. The inherent system of Freewill is present here on Earth as it is in any other place consciousness chooses to express itself; it is the only true law that exists. Those at the top know this and they have taken great pains to make sure you understand that you are subject to not only the millions of laws written in the last two hundred years but to laws that will determine what happens to you after you escape the long arm of the enforcement agencies of the planetary laws.

Karmic payback, entry into heaven, god’s favor after one leaves Earth. Ah, religious-based post-life retribution. Do bad here and you’ll be punished later. Park in a handicapped spot in July 8th 2015 and in the next life a group of parking god overlords will meet you upon your death and fine you or worse. Steal a bike and in the next life you’ll be punished by the same retribution exacting gods by having to walk everywhere in the after-Earth-life. Yes, the notion of retribution for executing your Freewill is everywhere, even for you in death. The lowly Human - *Being* on Earth is always paying the supposed higher ups for his transgressions, paying with either money, labor or even his life. Accepting the punishment doled out by these mechanisms of control is itself an act of Freewill so these folks who claim to have omnipotent power over the lowly Human

- *Being* on Earth are totally delusional, as they have no actual power at all, they are simply conmen who fear the day the sleeping Human - *Being* on Earth wakes up to his Freewill. The gods, the kings the politicians or the mafia bosses are not in control of anyone at all, as it is the choice of the Human - *Being* on Earth to agree to their silly systems of control.

The idea that simply expressing your energy in a manner not acceptable to someone, somewhere must result in you paying damages to a party *not* a part of the action is so absurd it is comical. Consider that there is a somewhat Freewill based notion of law on Earth called “common law” or “the law of the land.” It can mean a great many things but boiled down to its very essence the idea here is this: those who have inflicted real, measureable damage on another, should seek to balance out the damage with the aggrieved party through themselves, or via a neutral third party. The laws of the world as we know them, executed after events have transpired (retributionable acts), are done via something called maritime law, or the law of the sea. Again the system is complicated but boiled down to its very essence: A Human - *Being* on Earth’s actions are governed by rules, rules which can be used through interpretations of those actions to provide money, energy, or retribution via jail or death to third parties not involved in the act itself. So, stealing a bike can result in the thief giving money to the State and not the actual victim of the crime! Smoking a joint can result in a lifetime in solitary confinement because the “State” deemed you broke the Rules of the House and the house needs get itself some retribution. Consider the amount of something, energy or money, the State receives via the so-called victimless crimes.

Are You A Person Damn It!

PERSON. A man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. no. 137.
A human being considered as capable of having rights and of being charged with duties; while a “thing” is the object over which rights may be exercised.
Persons are divided by law into *natural* and *artificial*. Natural persons are such as the God of nature formed us; artificial are such as are created and devised by human laws, for the purposes of society and government, which are called “corporations” or “bodies politic.” 1 Bl. Com. 123.

Black’s Law 1st ed.

PERSON. A man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. no. 137.
A human being considered as capable of having rights and of being charged with duties; while a “thing” is the object over which rights may be exercised.
—**Artificial persons.** Such as are created and devised by law for the purposes of society and government, called “corporations” or “bodies politic.”—**Natural persons.** Such as are formed by nature, as distinguished from *artificial* persons, or corporations.—**Private person.** An individual who is not the incumbent of an office.

Black’s Law 2nd ed.

PERSON. A man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the right to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. *People v. R. Co.*, 134 N. Y. 506, 31 N. E. 873.
The term is, however, more extensive than *man*. It may include artificial beings, as corporations; 1 Bla. Com. 123; 4 Bingh. 669;

Bouvier Law 3rd ed.

Those who cannot understand the language of the law are subject to it. When was the last time you heard of a lawyer going to jail? The language of law is not a colloquial language but a set of coded symbols designed to vaguely resemble the language we speak and that is how all of this state-based retribution happens. The code cannot be easily seen by the enslaved but can be seen by those who are versed in the language. Keep in mind not all professional legal folks are actually versed, which leads to a whole other mess of confusion when you tackle these topics. Did you know Black’s Law dictionary defines a “Person” two ways? One is a Natural Person, who is not subject to retribution and another is a “Person” who is actually a corporate employee who is subject to retribution on a whim.

Important distinction, in fact while a Natural Person was the primary definition of Black's Law version one, it was moved to secondary definition in version two – why?

In fact it is the Corporate Person that allowed Mandell House and his cronies to create a world where Freewill is only theirs to be used legally by creating a corporation version of you via the “birth certificate” used as collateral for a loan created in your name at birth. There are many law books, countless legal rulings, and many definitions of each legal word, each sentence, and each law as it reads in its entirety. How can you be subject to obeying the millions of words that make up all the laws if you do not know the definition of each and every word used to make up those laws? This system is a confusing mess for a reason.

The idea that the law, the code, the ordinance and so on is part of some exact, mathematical based system of managing A Human - *Being* on Earth's actions in order to create a civilized world could not be further from the truth. The system of laws is a system of retribution designed to give a few people control over the Freewill of the population of the world. Some will say, “when they instituted laws making it illegal to lynch someone without a trial the practice stopped, so laws stop people from acting.” There is a correlation but not causation to that idea. People stop doing things for a myriad of reasons, most often because an event has actually taught them something! In fact, given the notion of proper education, properly learning by experience, a great many of our ills would simple vanish but the ills are needed for the system of retribution to work.


In fact, a great many of our planetary ills occur because people are desperate, desperate enough to do things they would not normally do as a result of the Mandell House Debt Scheme.

Freewill as we should know it to be has been slowly eroded via the mechanism of educational control of thought. By controlling what people learn, how they learn, and controlling the system of what is deemed to be learned one can create a world where the connection to Freewill is lost and the desire for draconian controlling measures is craved. Did anyone reading this learn about Freewill in either school or their religious systems? The system we supposedly learn in enables the control system to simply create a self-imprisoning cage contained within each Human - *Being* on Earth. The nature of Freewill actually requires a self imprisoning cage, as it is simply impossible, beyond impossible if such a notion exists, to restrict Freewill via any other mechanism then consent. To contain their own Freewill, *one must consent*.

In the last 50 or so years it would seem folks have shifted their self-governing mechanisms to a system of external governing via mechanisms of law. Folks look to the law to tell them what to do and what not to do. How many times have you heard someone shout, “there oughtta be a law...” Folks cannot seem to self-govern themselves anymore, they even guess at what the law tells them to do regarding any given action. How many times have you heard someone ask, “is it legal...? Shockingly, the majority have no idea what any given law reads like and why it pertains to them, as they have gained their

knowledge from TV news/entertainment, newspapers and various other PR mechanisms for the control system, which deliberately distort the facts about any “law.” A person who does not know the legal definition of “Person” or “suffering” does not know the law. A person who does not know all the word definitions used in the process of law making, law execution or law interpretation does not know the law at all. Have you ever spoken with some governmental bureaucrat who doesn’t know the law they are supposed to be enforcing? This is the very definition of hell, a person in charge of the law who not only does not know what the law says, but does not know the words don’t mean what they think they mean and they are in charge of your actions.

I’m going to toss in something I find interesting and revealing here. From what I can tell there have been only two “declared” wars in the US in the last 50 years. Can you guess which ones? Not Vietnam, not Iraq 1 or 2, not Afghanistan, not Grenada, Croatia, Somalia or even Panama. The two declared wars are: The War On Poverty and The War on Drugs.



To “Declare” war is a very, very specific proclamation with terms that are defined in ways that prevents them from being used colloquially by the elected officials of any Nation on Earth. In fact, it is so important you’ll notice that war was not declared after 9-11 even though we went to war and are still in it. President Johnson took the podium in 1964 and “Declared A War on Poverty.” This wasn’t a metaphor, or bluster, and he surely wasn’t anyone who cared about poor people at all. This was not a quest, a moral process or some “damn it, this needs to end” moment. This was a Declaration Of War and the legal term for this means: *He declared war on poor people of America (and it may have included the world)*. Even more appalling, it was “unconditional!” During war you lose your rights, you have no right to redress, no right to comfort, protection and so on. You have no assets that cannot be taken by anyone involved in the war. An agent of the war cannot be charged with murder as there is no murder in war.

Have you noticed in the last 50 years that the poor have not only gotten poorer, they have become wholly reliant on the State? Was the War On Poverty a failure? No, it was a success. The War On Drugs is the same process. If you are accused of being a drug user or dealer the State, through its Policy Enforcement Officers, police, can take anything they want and either use it themselves, destroy it, or auction it off and there is very little you can do about it. If you are accused of stock manipulation, bank fraud, or even mass murder, the State *must* provide you with due process, but not on drug cases. Why? War has its own rules, its own laws, and its own system of enforcement. God help you if you are poor and involved in drugs...



The Earth itself is a host, an arena for games to be played by anyone who takes a body and visits for some duration of their own choosing. The Earth is a living breathing being, and failure to see this is simply a matter of failing to actually look. The system of rules, laws, codes and regulations has created a structure whereby the people who have come to play on Earth have to *pay* those who created the rules in order to live here on Earth a being they cannot possibly “own” given Earth has Freewill also. Through word play, definitions of symbols and murder a few folks have managed to turn the entire Earth, all *260,000,000,000 cubic miles* of him-her into a plantation prison whereby those who live here must pay them for everything he-she gives for free and failure to do so results in retribution, tax, or death.

The Earth’s resources are inherently *free*, a execution of Earth’s Freewill, and a handful of folks who actually understand the system of Freewill have convinced seven billion people that not only are her-him wares not free, they are owned by folks who simply play games with words in order to hide the true power in all of consciousness that is your Freewill.

People are outraged by the idea that folks can be enslaved by a plantation owner, and be forced to work for the owner, pay the owner to live and pay the owner’s general store for the supplies necessary to live – the closed slave system is now seen as an abomination by nearly everyone. Folks are angered to outrage over this even today but for some reason they gleefully accept the Mandell House planetary plantation system controlled by a few because the “law,” the law House and his breed of scum wrote, says this “is how it is.” Even more absurd is there are millions of people who will defend the Mandell House system of control as something other than a slave system when in fact he defines it as a slave system! (House is not the true creator of the system; I am only using him as the symbol as the true creators of this system remain hidden).

Really consider that, House, a contributor to the slave system says the system is in fact a slave system and the enslaved say it isn’t.

It is the reliance on external codes of conduct created by an invisible ruling class that has created the world we live in today. Folks in the midlevel's of the planetary control food chain (the names you know or could look up) are free to do as they please as long as their actions do not draw attention to those at the top – the unseen and nameless. Today a company somewhere in the world dumped huge amounts of toxic waste into a drinking water system “illegally” and the law didn't stop them from doing so. Since no one within their peer group is seeking retribution it is not likely anything will ever come of it – legally speaking that is. Today an American policeman shot and killed a Human - *Being* on Earth, murder by one definition, self-defense by another. The law contained in the murder definition did not prevent it and the law in another section prevents retribution from the actual aggrieved party. Today a family went homeless because they failed to pay the state its occupancy fee for the property they lived in, based on some made up legal words and phrases which say they owe money in order to reside on Earth in a home. Another man was jailed because he could not afford to pay the state its occupancy fee and his resulting homelessness is also crime.

At the very top of the control food chain exists a few who really know the score, they know that Freewill is Freewill, and it exists on Earth and everywhere else a Human - *Being* chooses to reside. They know all they have to do is do as they please and the population can do nothing about it, there are no systems of retribution for them because they simply have decided not to choose to be included within the systems of retribution they created here on Earth for the lowly classes. A slave cannot drag a so called elite into court and demand jail time, money or a hand be cutoff, as there are no laws, and no language to afford this. Anyone recall a story where a slave hauled a plantation owner into court for shortchanging on wages?



Laws controlling Freewill on Earth are a one-way street, as Human - *Beings* on Earth choose to accept the restrictions or not. If you cannot really grasp this notion I'll make it simple. A king is free to do as he pleases on Earth without fear of retribution from those he owns and the slaves he owns are not free to seek retribution from the king no matter what. Why, as the king is no one special, not a god, not appointed by an actual god, simply a self appointed conman with an expensive hat and coat. How do I know this? If a king was to be appointed by a god to rule over us, that god would have let *everyone* know this, not just the king during some private communications. In the real world if a new

CEO is to take over the stewardship of a company he lets everyone know this. Besides, I haven't seen any signs from Earth itself letting me know which guys Earth sold itself to.

I'm going to toss this in again because I really think it is important. Earth is a person, not a legal person but a Freewill person, who has created a game for us to play by establishing an arena. This arena has some inherent rules, for example in order to "live" here you have to take a body which is created from the items contained in the arena – silica, water, calcium etc. The arena contains all manner of opportunities for a varied number of expressions: plants, animals, insects, fish and of course Human – *Beings* On Earth. Somewhere along the line someone, other persons, said, "I own this place" and instituted a system whereby all that was given for free was to now cost. If that doesn't make you sad, angry, melancholy you are not a Human. What if you decided to give a kidney to save a friend, only a third party said that in order for you to do this the friend would have to pay them, not you, a fee of 150 thousand dollars. Oh, wait...

Freewill is Freewill. The laws, codes, social morays and the like are suggestions which can be heeded or not. In fact the "not" in that equation does not exist but rather moments of choice are created where one chooses to put one's energy into one system or another system but one does not really choose "not to" anything at all. I would suggest that the notion of hindering actions is a product of language introduction and not a function of the nature of Freewill – Freewill just is and does and never "does not."

Everything is about choice and what you choose is wholly dependent on what you know. If you only know X, you are highly likely to choose X. If you only know X and Y then you are highly likely to choose from X or Y. The system of control we exist in knows this so it works very hard to make sure you only choose from choices created by and favoring the system itself. Then it makes sure that choosing the wrong choice results in retribution of some sort, a retribution system that rewards itself rather than those involved. Consider this choice: The law requires you to choose X, but you are free to choose Y, though choosing Y results in death – you are free to choose X or Y.

No law ever prevented an action by a Human - *Being* on Earth from being taken. It can be said the fear of retribution might have influenced the decision making process but it cannot be said that it stopped any action from happening. Only the execution of choice stops an action from happening. Today I went to a shopping center and considered parking my car in a designated handicapped spot. There was nothing preventing me from executing that choice, nothing at all. The fact that I did not choose to park there did not stem from the fear of retribution from the state, but a desire to allow a real handicapped person to use the spot. My choice was made from a moral place, most folks make that choice based on the retribution which was the goal of law based choice. That was my decision making process but there are many other folks today who decided not to park in a handicapped spot because of the fear of the fine connected to being caught.

Caught, the word associated with crime, the word inextricably tied to the act of executing ones Freewill. I was "caught" executing my Freewill – the horror of that phrase cannot be defined.

Caught-Crime-Freewill, no worse a connection has ever been made. On Earth executing your Freewill is seen as a crime and crimes, as deemed by those seeking retribution, result in the criminal paying something to the State. Really see if you can grasp that, executing your Freewill is considered a crime and yet you cannot help but execute your Freewill because you are Freewill. Really drive that home: you being you results in retribution paid to a self appointed overlord.

Let's see how this might work with you right now. I, in my infinite right to control all who read this, have decided that it is illegal to spit on your front doorstep. There is a million-dollar fine for doing so, payable to me in the form of pennies only. Now, I demand you get up, walk out to your front doorstep and spit. Didn't the million dollar in pennies fine prevent you from spitting on your doorstep? Or did you spit just to see what would happen? I demanded it, what right to you have to disobey me? What right to I have to make a law that has you paying me if you break it? The decision to act regarding this law was yours and yours alone. Did you notice what just happened there at the deeper level? I just made up a law, just like every single law ever written was made up, just made up out of thin air. All laws are just made up, just like the money printed that gives folks the "right" to make up laws that support it.

***Auto Regulators
Dismissed Defect
Tied to 13 Deaths***

By HILARY STOUT, DANIELLE
IVORY and MATTHEW L. WALD

Federal safety regulators
received more than 260
complaints over the last 11
years about General Motors

Some of you may be saying, "maybe, but a lot of laws are based on real things and have helped a lot, like automotive safety." Recently GM executives weighed the costs of replacing known defective ignition systems of 28 million cars and determined it to not be cost effective. 56 people have died to date. Someone who kills 56 people is called a serial killer, a company who decides it is cheaper to kill 56 people then replace a faulty ignition switch simply lays off a few executives with severance packages, as the laws of killing people on mass did not stop the GM executives nor do the laws of retribution apply. Again, really see this, a man who kills 56 people is a serial murderer, a company that kills 56 people is nothing of the sort.

"What about laws on building houses safely surely that's a good thing?" Well, there is nothing that prevents someone from building a house unsafely, the law surely does not. The laws on building safety only allow for fines to be collected, properties to be seized and people to be jailed (poorer people that is) as a result of interpretations of codes in the building codebook. If the action of enacting a law was to actually help people build safer houses, the process would not be antagonistic and retaliatory but based but one of guided assistance. Instead of demanding folks "pay for permission" to build, then "pay for mistakes" (payment to the state) the collective would create a system of support and

education that would provide help in the process of home building without the retribution, fines, levies and obscene antagonism inherent within every law based bureaucracy, bureaucracy enforced by folks who do not understand the laws they enforce.



An erroneous assumption is that laws keep people safe. It is illegal to climb up the side of a New York skyscraper because it protects the climber from falling and death. Yet the law does not stop someone from doing it, it only allows for the state to exact retribution on those who do it. It is illegal, there are laws governing the action, to attempt to commit suicide. The ultimate act of the expression of a Human - *Being* on Earth's Freewill is governed by law, allowing the State to exact some form of retribution through detainment if you fail to actually kill yourself (you are an asset of the state and the state hates to lose assets – see Mandell House again on this). The legal control of the human body's actions do not stop people from attempting to, or successfully killing themselves. The truth is every single thing you do, or do not do, is governed by a law that allows for retribution by the governing body – everything including your thinking. Laws are fully at odds with the notion of Freewill and the notion of protecting you from yourself. Keeping you from expressing your Freewill is the now the driving force of the faceless, soulless bureaucratic system of law creation.

Laws are there to protect you from your Freewill, because the execution of your Freewill can lead to you hurting yourself. What the hell kind of insanity is this? On its surface this is obscene, but when you realize the State has a lot of money “invested” in you and it needs you to pay it back, with compound interest, you can see why they do not want you hurt. Then again, the State fully supports the American Medical Association, which, by its own admission kills some 250,000 people a year through negligence – not murder of course.

Antagonism in many regards is the lifeblood of the control system. The law based control system we live in is one that counts on an adversarial process from top to bottom. Those who execute the laws, your friends, your neighbors, your family members, are all trained to see the Human - *Beings* on Earth as the enemy, a criminal whose crimes have simply not yet been discovered. The parking enforcement officers see you as the enemy, the police see you as the enemy, the judge sees you as the enemy and the politicians who create laws controlling your actions surely see you as the enemy. The entire system is based on animosity; keeping everyone angry at each other all the while the system keeps taking energy from those who play the Earth life game.

It is this animosity that creates the self-feeding machine. People are so distrustful of each other that they demand laws that regulate their own behavior. The control system needs examples of distrust, and does so by making sure scarcity and more laws encourage it. Once people are desperate enough to use their Freewill to damage another, the State steps in and enacts a law and proclaims this new law will make the future better and safer. Eventually the population forgets how to interact without the presence of The House Rules and eventually the social fabric decays to the point where the only thing holding it together is the promise of new and better laws and this is the goal of the Mandell House system of societal decay. We have reached the point where truly immoral actions are now acceptable because they are “legal.” When the U.S. Constitution was drafted it was rather unthinkable that a man could be killed without some form of objective due process, now, because the U.S. President signed a law that makes it okay it is indeed okay. Common sense morality, morality that has existed for thousands of years can be eliminated by configuring a few words into a law and demanding people act accordingly or face retribution. Again, think about that. What was clearly immoral for thousands of years can now be made fully moral by writing a law!

Relying on the House Rules, the law, the commandments issued by folks you do not know and will never meet, results in you failing to rely on your own sense of self to guide you through life. It is the personal sense of self that is the only reason you exist at all, to defer to “laws” or other such nonsense as the great arbiter of your life is to not bother to live at all. The soul expresses itself through the mechanisms of a Human - *Being* on Earth in order to express its Freewill and learn about itself. To allow laws to determine how to express your life is the only real crime happening here on Earth.

Now many of you are thinking, “well hell, I’ll just do what they hell I want a screw everyone else then.” You’re thinking, “that’s just anarchy and the systems in place prevent that.” That thinking is the very justification devised to introduce the system law based choice control. The current danger for a Human - *Being* on Earth is that he/she has become so reliant on the mythology of retribution based control systems to dictate their personal choices that without it the zombie population would go nuts. I do feel that this is true, I do feel that the vast majority of animated bodies on Earth would indeed descend into uncivilized chaos were they to be released from the control systems designed to control their Freewill – at least temporarily. This chaos was designed into the system should folks rebel against it. I also believe a new reality would open up for those who accepted the truth that they are Freewill Human - *Beings* on Earth.

Consider that you are the power plant of both your life and the reality you see everyday. Consider that it is your energy, as designated by your Freewill, that creates that reality, feeds it, keeps it alive. Consider that the choices you make, the choices involving the direction your Freewill energy needs to go is the system of laws, rules, regulation and retribution. Consider that in order for that system to stay a vibrant, viable system it must have *your* personal energy. In order for there to be toxic waste producers, war profiteers and banking fat cats as well as the imprisoned, the impoverished and the disempowered the Freewill energy must continue to flow freely, unabated, into all of the systems you call life. Consider it all a game, is the game fun? Has the game taught you anything,

anything all, about yourself? Would the game be better if you were an elite, or the one in charge? Is it time for the game, as we know it, the game of retribution through the system of control, to be over? Only you can decide for yourself.




Remember all the laws are written for you personally. If you are having a hard time believing the idea that laws have eliminated the need for personal morality in favor of the science of law creation's ability to make perfect laws governing every actions you should consider this: The rather remarkable whale known as the Orca was a Freewill expressing being for all of its life on Earth, that is until the early seventies when one was kidnapped from its home in the sea. The whale was dropped into a tiny tank for your amusement. Clearly this is immoral by any standard of measure, but it was and is *legal*. In fact, it is legal for the State to do the same to you, your family, your children and your friends. The law allows for it, the law also allows for you to "argue your case" in a court via an antagonistic system run by those who created the law after you have been thrown into the tank. Now consider this: What if the Orca volunteered to be imprisoned to show the population how their Freewill was be used against them. Sadly no one got the message, not even the trainers who watched the whale suffer day in and day out for years.



This article is not about creating a world of revolution, chaos or anarchy, in fact quite the opposite. The article isn't even about lawyers, or law, or politicians. This article isn't about the right of kings or the failure of the US Constitution to elucidate on those other inalienable rights. This article is about you, you the non-legally defined Human - *Being* on Earth and your inherent Freewill nature. If enough of you out there stopped crying about the system, demanding social justice, pounding the drums of revolution for just one minute and said yourself, "screw this, I'm Freewill" I think you would find a very different reality opening up to you and all of us. I would strongly suggest those who spend their time pointing out the hypocrisy of the controllers, the impending military take over of the world or the deteriorating human rights simply stop talking about that as a call

to action. The only action needed is for enough folks to *internally* say “enough.” There is no need to make a thing of it, simply proclaim every night before dinner that, “I’m a Freewill being and this is enough,” and then eat a nice meal.

The time is upon us for accepting that we have chosen to forget that we are Freewill and stop honoring the fear based programming that has caused us to see the notion of Freewill as the devil. Freewill is not the devil and neither are you.



A postscript to this article. As the human expression on Earth has evolved and changed the system of control from gods, to kings, to religious institutions to governments and currency systems has all been about keeping one step ahead of the moment when the Human: *Being* on Earth decides to express his Freewill unabated. All self reflective beings who exist in some form or another must obey the Freewill system, as it is simply impossible not to obey it – there is no choice on that. Machines do not have to recognize the reality of Freewill; they are not Freewill and will never be Freewill. To give you an example, take a moment to reflect on the last time you could not get what you wanted while trapped in the phone tree at a major corporation’s customer service center.

The move toward some system of Artificial Intelligence is a move to thwart the system of Freewill. The control system that controls Earth knows what is coming and the only thing it can think to do is to create a machine/hybrid system which will end Freewill for all time by subjugating it to the mechanism of digital on off switches. It is something to think about when you hear some champion of the AI future prattling on about how great it will be for everyone.

This fact as I see it raises the final conclusion here, will things change? No, they will not as no one has ever willingly given up power, or even said something like, “gee, I’m so sorry for conning you into thinking you have to be a slave when if fact you do not because Freewill is of course the only truth.” This means only you can change...



Blacks Law does not have a definition of Human, or Human Being. I have written “Human – Being on Earth” in this way for a very specific reason. A Human Souled expression can express itself in many ways, if you are reading this, and you identify with what I have written, it is highly likely you are a Human Souled

Expression who is Being, expressing itself, in the Earth reality game. You are “Being Here” as opposed to “Being” in another here altogether.

* Woodrow Wilson’s response to accepting the Federal Reserve enslavement through debt act: “I am a most unhappy man. I have unwittingly ruined my country. A great industrial nation is controlled by its system of credit. Our system of credit is concentrated. The growth of the nation, therefore, and all our activities are in the hands of a few men. We have come to be one of the worst ruled, one of the most completely controlled and dominated Governments in the civilized world no longer a Government by free opinion, no longer a Government by conviction and the vote of the majority, but a Government by the opinion and duress of a small group of dominant men.” -Woodrow Wilson

**Recently the Supreme Court ruled that corporations have the right of people with regard to campaign money and so on. This angered many. Those who were angered are those who failed to understand the language. The “law” says, the 1913 Federal Reserve System based law that is, says that humans who are defined as “persons” are in fact corporations (definition one in Blacks Law). The Supreme Court simply said, “if an embodied corporation has x rights, then a non embodied one does as well because there is no distinction between the two in the eyes of the “law.”